



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,045	08/30/2001	Jan Dietrich	085449-0112	7698

7590 10/02/2002

FOLEY & LARDNER  
Washington Harbour  
Suite 500  
3000 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20007-5109

EXAMINER

SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1774	6

1774

6

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/942,045

Applicant(s)

DIETRICH ET AL.

Examiner

Betelhem Shewareged

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) [X] Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2001.
2a) [ ] This action is FINAL. 2b) [ ] This action is non-final.
3) [ ] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) [X] Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) [ ] Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
6) [ ] Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
7) [ ] Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
8) [X] Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) [ ] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) [ ] The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) [ ] accepted or b) [ ] objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) [ ] The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) [ ] approved b) [ ] disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12) [ ] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) [X] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [X] All b) [ ] Some \* c) [ ] None of:
1. [X] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. [ ] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. [ ] Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) [ ] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) [ ] The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15) [ ] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) [ ] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) [ ] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) [ ] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
4) [ ] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
5) [ ] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) [ ] Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-15, drawn to transfer system, classified in class 428, subclass 195.

II. Claim 16, drawn to process of making transfer system, classified in class 427, subclass 1+.

III. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to method of transferring, classified in class 156, subclass 230.

IV. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to textile substrate, classified in class 442, subclass 59.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, (e.g., forming an ink absorbing film, laminating the ink absorbing film onto a support via an adhesive).

3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as

Art Unit: 1774

claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, (providing a transfer system having a support and a peelable ink absorbing layer, applying an adhesive layer on a textile substrate, laminating the transfer system onto the adhesive layer of the textile substrate on the ink absorbing layer side, peeling the support).

4. Inventions I and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a label to be applied onto a glass substrate such as bottle, window or mirror and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1774

6. A telephone call was made to Richard Schwaab on 09/04/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 703-305-0389. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on 703-308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

BS *BS*  
September 27, 2002.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

